

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/768,390	01/30/2004	Ronald King	2144.051USU	3804		
7.	590 05/18/2006	EXAMINER				
	Ruggiero, Esq.	LAWRENCE J	LAWRENCE JR, FRANK M			
Ohlandt, Greele	ey, Ruggiero & Perle, L.	ART UNIT I	PAPER NUMBER			
One Landmark	Square	1724	1724			
Stamford, CT	06901-2682		DATE MAILED: 05/18/2006	DATE MAILED: 05/18/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/768,390	)	KING ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Frank M. La	awrence	1724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHICH - Extensi after SI - If NO po - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE on softime may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. A cried for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THI 36(a). In no ever will apply and will , cause the applic	IS COMMUNICATION  nt, however, may a reply be tim  expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cation to become ABANDONED	L. ely filed the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
2a)□ T 3)□ S	Responsive to communication(s) filed onhis action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This ince this application is in condition for allowar losed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is no nce except f	or formal matters, pro		e merits is			
Disposition	n of Claims							
4a 5)□ C 6)□ C 7)□ C	claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.  a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw claim(s) is/are allowed.  claim(s) is/are rejected.  claim(s) is/are objected to.  claim(s) 1-32 are subject to restriction and/or expense.	wn from con						
_	•							
10)∐ TI A R	ne specification is objected to by the Examiner the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception and acception and request that any objection to the deplacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrections oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner of the content of t	epted or b)[ drawing(s) be tion is require	e held in abeyance. See d if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	• •			
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) Notice (3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) lo(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/768,390 Page 2

Art Unit: 1724

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-20, 22 and 27-32, drawn to a method of separating water from air, classified in class 95, subclass 231.
- II. Claims 21 and 23-26, drawn to an adsorption system, classified in class 96, subclass 108.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process, such as in the removal of water from gases other than air, such as hydrides, inert, reactive gases or hydrocarbons.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to John Yankovich on April 26, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/768,390

Art Unit: 1724

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Page 3

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank M. Lawrence whose telephone number is 571-272-1161. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30-6:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/768,390

Art Unit: 1724

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Frank M. Lawrence Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724 Page 4

fl

Trank Saureure 5-16-06